

THE FRANKLIN COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD THERE REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING ON TUESDAY, JANUARY 16TH, 2007, AT 1:30 P.M., IN THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING ROOM IN THE COUNTY COURTHOUSE.

THERE WERE PRESENT: Wayne Angell, Chairman
 Charles Wagner, Vice-Chairman
 Leland Mitchell
 David Hurt
 Charles Poindexter
 Russ Johnson
 Hubert Quinn

OTHERS PRESENT: Richard E. Huff, II, County Administrator
 Christopher L. Whitlow, Asst. County Administrator
 Larry V. Moore, Asst. County Administrator
 B. J. Jefferson, County Attorney
 Sharon K. Tudor, CMC, Clerk

Chairman Wayne Angell called the meeting to order.

Invocation was given by Supervisor Charles Wagner.

Pledge of Allegiance was led by Supervisor Leland Mitchell.

CONSENT AGENDA
APPROVAL OF ACCOUNTS PAYABLE LISTING, APPROPRIATIONS, TRANSFERS & MINUTES FOR – DECEMBER 19TH, 2007 & JANUARY 3RD, 2007
APPROPRIATIONS:

<u>DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Planning and Zoning	Ferrum Planning Grant	8115- 3002	15,000.00
Sheriff	VSTOP - Domestic Violence Grant	3105-	36,086.00
E911	Town of Rocky Mount	3000-035-	
	Reimbursement for Radios	0034-7001	20,300.00
Sheriff	Mobile Data Laptop Grant	3000-035-	
		0034-7001	53,004.00
Total			<u>124,390.00</u>

Transfers Between Departments:
None

SINGLE SOURCE PROCUREMENT
The new workforce development center (The Franklin Center) requires a significant amount of audio and visual equipment. Many of the conference and instructional rooms must be able to accommodate a wide array of audio and visual components. We must begin working with audio/visual consultants soon to ensure the base infrastructure of the building will support these needs.

The new center has a requirement to provide audio-visual, video-conferencing and multimedia systems to support the distant education initiative. This work requires a unique skill-set to provide design, implementation and training for multiple system components, video-conferencing and multimedia hardware. Due to the complexity of this system the need for interoperability in all areas of technology, a single vendor with certifications from specific audio-video manufacturers and the video-conferencing industry must provide this support. The vendor must be within 100 miles of Rocky Mount to support this project as service will be required on short notice and will often impact mission critical objectives. The vendor must also have factory trained manufacturer certified technicians for the specific type of products provided which is required for service calls

and warranty support. The vendor must have adequate staffing to be able to provide this timely response. The support must include programmers and engineers that can be available on 1-hour notice for the specific systems, to assist on-site technical personnel via a web interface. The vendor must employ engineers that are CTS ICIA certified to insure minimum levels of competency in the technology.

Technical Innovation has provided copies of all certifications including: CTS, CTS-D, CTS-V, Video-Conferencing and general audio-video products. The aggregated education, certifications and authorizations are essential to the success of this project. Technical Innovation holds several specialized certifications such as: NSCA Project Management, FCC General Class License, ICIA Gold Certification for Systems Integration, ICIA Control System Networking, Polycom Certified Engineering, Tandberg Certified Expert, Lutron Commercial A/V Lighting Design, and ICIA Facilities Design.

All of these systems and other components must work properly together. Technical Innovation has experience in working all phases of this project, to include integration of commercial off the shelf hardware, implementation of video-conferencing systems, software programming in support of peripheral hardware, and development of a control system which will allow for complete control over all audio-visual and multimedia components. They also have the expertise and experience to insure that considerations are in place for the required power, conduit, lighting, HVAC and acoustics. Only Technical Innovation has sufficient depth in (engineering, programming, designers, project manager, and service personnel) resources that it can apply and guarantee support of all requirements of this project within the required response time parameters.

RECOMMENDATION: Staff respectively recommends that the Board of Supervisors declare this a single source procurement based on Technical Innovation's resources and certifications for all

SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT JAIL FLEET PURCHASE

The Franklin County Sheriff's Office is a law enforcement agency with local jail and law enforcement responsibility. It maintains a fleet of police vehicles necessary to carry out all functions and responsibilities. The Sheriff's Office currently has one heavy duty prisoner transportation van vehicle with a maximum transportation capacity of eleven. The Franklin County Jail currently is responsible for 242 incarcerated inmates. Our local jail is averaging 83 inmates locally in 70 bunk spaces with a DOC rating of 49 inmates. Out of the 242 responsible inmates, 159 are housed in out of county jail/detention facilities. The majority of these inmates are housed at the following four facilities: Southwest Regional Jail (Abington), Middle River Regional Jail (Verona/Staunton), Blue Ridge Regional Jail (Lynchburg), and Roanoke City Jail. The current daily prisoner transportation situation requires the use of the lone prisoner van and numerous vehicles due to the numerous housing locations of inmates. NOTE: The Roanoke City Jail which at one time housed over 100 inmates now only houses a few of our female inmates. This has increased the round trip transportation of prisoners from 1.5 hours (Roanoke) to 3 hours for Blue Ridge (Lynchburg), 4 hours for Middle River (Verona), & 6 hours for Southwest (Abington). This causes a hardship on transportation when the current van is busy on a distant transport and multiple vehicles are utilized including at times law enforcement vehicles and personnel.

The Sheriff's Office requests to order one new 2006 Ford 1 Ton Extended Van with prisoner equipped Van Cell through state contract number 4530-60-B at a Total cost of **\$ 28,951.00**. This would be an additional vehicle to the jail prisoner transportation fleet with a maximum capacity of eleven prisoners and would help relieve the use of multiple vehicles to long distance facilities.

This vehicle would be purchased from Jail Pay Phone Commission funds as no local funds are needed.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff respectfully requests the Board authorize purchase of the above said vehicle.

PUBLIC SAFETY/FIRE MARSHAL VEHICLE REPLACEMENT

In FY 05-06 the Board of Supervisors allocated funds in CIP funding to Public Safety for the purchase of an Emergency Response Vehicle for The Franklin County Fire Marshal. These funds were carried over to the current fiscal year as purchase could not be completed prior to the end of FY 05-06.

The vehicle specification (submitted 1) is complete and approved by the Public Safety Director. The specification is based on a 2007 Ford Expedition EL/SSV or equivalent. This make/model vehicle is currently in use by the Fire Marshal and has delivered reliable service. The unit

specified for bid is a basic Special Service Vehicle as manufactured by the Ford Motor Company for use in government fleet service. The specified vehicle is a basic unit that is comparable to the current vehicle in use by the Franklin County Fire Marshal's Office which was purchased in 2000. The unit will be used for emergency response 24/7 in all weather conditions to all areas of Franklin County. The current vehicle has exceeded the service life established by the Franklin County Vehicle Advisory Committee. The specification process has indicated that the price of the vehicle should fall within the encumbered amount and may actually be less than the 2000 vehicle price. State contract bid prices for a similar vehicle are listed as being higher than those available from local dealerships therefore it is requested that Public Safety be allowed to solicit bids outside of the state contract.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff respectfully recommends that the specifications for the vehicle be released for bid, as it is believed a vehicle meeting the minimum specifications can be obtained locally for less than state contract pricing.

GENERAL PROPERTIES SERVICE VEHICLE PURCHASE

The Department of General Properties is in the process of filling the position of Senior Maintenance Technician. This is a full time position that was approved and funded in the current budget year.

The Senior Maintenance Technician position will be filled soon. The individual will be responsible for all aspects of building/ground maintenance and will require the use of a service type vehicle.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff requests Board approval to purchase a used late model work truck or service van. The method of purchase will be thru State Contract for used vehicles OR at vehicle auction. Purchase price of this vehicle is not to exceed \$15,000 and funding is available in that portion of unexpended salary for the position.

RACING SPEEDWAY 2007 OUTDOOR OCCASION APPLICATION

Richard Gluth, recently leased the Callaway Speedway and is requesting approval for his 2007 Annual Outdoor Occasion Permit for the racing season. The submitted Outdoor Occasion Permit for Racing, LLC. is enclosed for your review and consideration.

All pertinent agencies per County Code Section 13-29.2 have signed off on the 2007 Outdoor Occasion Permit for Mr. Gluth.

Per County Code Section 13-29.4 the fee of \$100.00 has been remitted and deposited with the County Treasurer's Office.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff request Board approval on the 2007 Outdoor Occasion Permit application as submitted per County Code Section 13-29.1.

• **FERRUM WATER & SEWER AUTHORITY**

Bobby Thompson
Ferrum College
Post Office Box 40
Ferrum, Virginia 24088 2/1/2011

Larry V. Moore
Assistant County Administrator
40 East Court Street
Rocky Mount, Virginia 24151 2/1/2011

Daryl Emberson
Ferrum College
Post Office Box 40
Ferrum, Virginia 24088 2/1/2011

Joseph Edward Greer
247 Five Mile Mountain Road
Callaway, Virginia 24067
483-1561 2/1/2011

Darryl Spencer
250 School Board Service Road

Rocky Mount, Virginia 24151

2/1/2011

AMENDMENT TO SHERIFF'S VEHICLE PURCHASE REQUESTS:

As you know, our Department requests for new and/or replacement vehicles are reviewed by our Vehicle Group prior to being presented to our complete Board of Supervisors.

As a result of last Thursday's (January 11, 2007) vehicle meeting, the Sheriff's Department is withdrawing their request at this time of the following:

4 – 2007 Ford Police Interceptors

2 – 2007 Dodge Chargers

In lieu of one (1) of the Dodge Chargers, the Department is requesting an additional 2007 Chevrolet Impala. A revised listing of Sheriff's Department requests is as follows:

1 – 2007 Dodge Quad-cab pick-up

- \$24,446

1 – 2006 (or newer) special purpose/investigation vehicle

- Not to exceed \$20,000

4 – 2007 Chevrolet Impala Police Vehicles

- \$18,515 each

1 – 2006 Ford 1-ton Jail van

- \$28,951

In addition, the group reviewed a request from Planning and Community Development for a used program vehicle not to exceed \$15,000. This request arrived too late to include in the Board's regular packet. However, the vehicle group has approved forwarding this to the Board for today's approval.

Otherwise, the remaining requests under today's consent agenda, have received endorsement and I trust the Board will consider approval of this revised listing.

(RESOLUTION #08-01-2007)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to approve the consent agenda items with the deletion of the Sheriff's earlier request for vehicles and to approve the aforementioned amendment to the Sheriff's Vehicle purchase request as noted above and lastly, to pull the Single Source Procurement to later in the afternoon.

MOTION BY: Russ Johnson

SECONDED BY: Charles Wagner

VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:

AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

SINGLE SOURCE PROCUREMENT

Mrs. Sandie Terry, Director of Information Technology shared with the Board, the new workforce development center (The Franklin Center) requires a significant amount of audio and visual equipment. Many of the conference and instructional rooms must be able to accommodate a wide array of audio and visual components. We must begin working with audio/visual consultants soon to ensure the base infrastructure of the building will support these needs.

The new center has a requirement to provide audio-visual, video-conferencing and multimedia systems to support the distant education initiative. This work requires a unique skill-set to provide design, implementation and training for multiple system components, video-conferencing and multimedia hardware. Due to the complexity of this system the need for interoperability in all areas of technology, a single vendor with certifications from specific audio-video manufacturers and the video-conferencing industry must provide this support. The vendor must be within 100 miles of Rocky Mount to support this project as service will be required on short notice and will often impact mission critical objectives. The vendor must also have factory trained manufacturer certified technicians for the specific type of products provided which is required for service calls and warranty support. The vendor must have adequate staffing to be able to provide this timely response. The support must include programmers and engineers that can be available on 1-hour notice for the specific systems, to assist on-site technical personnel via a web interface. The vendor must employ engineers that are CTS ICIA certified to insure minimum levels of competency in the technology.

Technical Innovation has provided copies of all certifications including: CTS, CTS-D, CTS-V, Video-Conferencing and general audio-video products. The aggregated education, certifications and authorizations are essential to the success of this project. Technical Innovation holds

several specialized certifications such as: NSCA Project Management, FCC General Class License, ICIA Gold Certification for Systems Integration, ICIA Control System Networking, Polycom Certified Engineering, Tandberg Certified Expert, Lutron Commercial A/V Lighting Design, and ICIA Facilities Design.

All of these systems and other components must work properly together. Technical Innovation has experience in working all phases of this project, to include integration of commercial off the shelf hardware, implementation of video-conferencing systems, software programming in support of peripheral hardware, and development of a control system which will allow for complete control over all audio-visual and multimedia components. They also have the expertise and experience to insure that considerations are in place for the required power, conduit, lighting, HVAC and acoustics. Only Technical Innovation has sufficient depth in (engineering, programming, designers, project manager, and service personnel) resources that it can apply and guarantee support of all requirements of this project within the required response time parameters.

RECOMMENDATION: Staff respectively recommends that the Board of Supervisors declare this a single source procurement based on Technical Innovation's resources and certifications for all. The Board wanted to make sure there were no other firms with this expertise to secure "all" of the components of the project.

General discussion ensued.

(RESOLUTION #09-01-2007)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to approve the aforementioned single source procurement "The Franklin Center" as presented.

MOTION BY: Charles Wagner

SECONDED BY: David Hurt

VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:

AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Wagner, Quinn & Angell

NAYS: Poindexter & Johnson

MOTION PASSES WITH A 5-2 VOTE.

TREASURER'S MONTHLY REPORT

(RESOLUTION #10-01-2007)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED by the Board to approve the Treasurer's monthly report as submitted.

MOTION BY: Leland Mitchell

SECONDED BY: Hubert Quinn

VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:

AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn, & Angell

ACCESS MANAGEMENT GUIDEBOOK

Frank Fiori, Director of Planning & Community Development, shared with the Board the West Piedmont Planning and Development Commission wrote and received a grant from VDOT to study access management issues in Franklin County and to produce a guidebook on access management for future use by the County. After sending out an RFP, receiving proposals and conducting interviews with several firms, the firm of Vanasse Hangin Brustlin, Inc. was selected to undertake the work.

Staff from the County, WPPDC and the consultant will provide an update to the Board on the status of this project.

Andy Boenau of Vanasse Hangin Brustlin, Inc., gave the Board a brief update on the status of the access management project noting the major corridors of the County and problematic areas. The final document will be a management tool and guidebook for future land use decisions. The meeting scheduled tonight is an introduction of the project and an educational process to collect data from the public.

The final presentation should be May 22nd, 2007 to the Board of Supervisors.

ROANOKE VALLEY ALLEGHANY REGIONAL COMMISSION FY'2008 WORK PROGRAM

Wayne Strickland, Executive Director, Roanoke Valley Alleghany Regional Commission summarized the Board with a current listing of projects and proposed projects for 2008:

The Roanoke Valley Alleghany Regional Commission (RVARC) solicits, on an annual basis, recommendations for projects to be included in the Commission's annual work program. RVARC

is currently working on several projects for Franklin County including a viticulture report, open space plan, and historic overlay for the Booker T. Washington National Monument.

Wayne Strickland, Executive Director, provided an update on the status of ongoing projects and discuss the Commission's work program.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff respectfully requests that the Board of Supervisors provide the Commission with some suggested work projects for FY 2008.

The Roanoke Valley-Alleghany Regional Commission (RVARC) is currently working on the following specific projects for Franklin County:

- Viticulture Study
- Open Space Plan
- Booker T. Washington National Monument Historic Overlay Zone
- Pigg River Dam Removal

In addition to the above, the following is a list of projects found in the RVARC FY 2007 Comprehensive Work Program involving Franklin County.

Rural Transportation Projects

Rural Long Range Transportation Plan Phase I

Staff will start to create a regional long-range plan in the rural portion of the Roanoke Valley-Alleghany Regional Commission (RVARC) to complement the long-range transportation plan developed by the Roanoke Valley Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (RVAMPO) for the metropolitan area. This project consists of four phases across a multi-year effort that will result in a "safety conscious, GIS based long-range multimodal plan" (highways, bicycle/pedestrian, freight, aviation and transit) for the RVARC. The four phases are: Phase I – Goals and Data Collection (FY 2007); Phase 2 – Analysis and Problem Identification (FY 2008); Phase 3 - Public Outreach and Recommendation Development (FY 2009); and Phase 4 - Public Endorsement and Regional Adoption (FY 2010).

Localities served: City of Covington, Counties of Alleghany, Botetourt, Craig and Roanoke, Town of Clifton Forge

Project Leader: Mark McCaskill

Funding Source & Estimated Hours: SPR - 400 hrs.

Ridesharing/Alternative Transportation Projects

Ridesharing/Alternative Transportation Projects

Continue to operate the region's RIDE Solutions Program. Continue to seek opportunities to create a greater public awareness of commuting options. Work with individual citizens, employers, and local governments to promote alternative transportation. Work with large employers to encourage their employees to use alternative modes of transportation, such as car-pooling, bus, telecommuting, and vanpooling. Work with employers to offer alternative transportation benefits to employees. Use employers as communication channels to educate employees about ridesharing and its benefits. Manage the Ride Solutions database, web pages, member's needs, grant writing, bookkeeping, advertising, public outreach, and information requests. Ride Solutions also provides survey and statistical analysis for the region's public transportation and park-and-ride systems.

Localities served: Cities of Covington, Roanoke and Salem, Counties of Alleghany, Botetourt, Craig, Floyd, Franklin, Montgomery and Roanoke, Towns of Clifton Forge and Vinton

Project Leader: Transportation Alternatives Coordinator

Funding Source & Estimated Hours: Rideshare – 898 hrs.

Economic Development Projects

Data Mapping & Census 2000 Rankings

Using Census 2000 data at the city and county level for the entire United States, staff will produce a publication that ranks Commission counties and cities against the 3,141 counties and cities in the United States on 45 different indicators including population, income, age, race, native language, education, and housing data. Staff

will provide on-going data and mapping assistance to the region's economic development offices. Staff will also attend regional economic development meetings when possible

Localities served: Cities of Covington, Roanoke and Salem, Counties of Alleghany, Botetourt, Craig, Franklin and Roanoke, Towns of Clifton Forge and Vinton

Project Leader: John Hull

Funding Source & Estimated Hours: RVARC – 80 hrs.

GRANT System

Staff will compile an email distribution list of local government staff and other interested parties from the region and electronically distribute notices of relevant grant opportunities from federal and state agencies as well as private foundations.

Localities served: Cities of Covington, Roanoke and Salem, Counties of Alleghany, Botetourt, Craig, Franklin and Roanoke and Towns of Clifton Forge and Vinton

Project Leader: Shane Sawyer

Funding Source & Estimated Hours: RVARC – 130 hrs.

IMPLAN

Staff will acquire the IMPLAN Economic Impact Modeling software and necessary data to establish and run a regional economic impact model. Staff will also acquire training necessary to run the model.

Localities served: Cities of Covington, Roanoke and Salem, Counties of Alleghany, Botetourt, Craig, Franklin and Roanoke and Towns of Clifton Forge and Vinton

Project Leader: John Hull

Funding Source & Estimated Hours: RVARC – 40 hrs.

LOCI

Staff will acquire LOCI economic and fiscal impact modeling software and set up profiles for each community within the Roanoke Valley – Alleghany Regional Commission for use in determining the fiscal impacts of new housing developments, new commercial developments, and new industrial uses.

Localities served: Cities of Covington, Roanoke and Salem, Counties of Alleghany, Botetourt, Craig, Franklin and Roanoke and Towns of Clifton Forge and Vinton

Project Leader: John Hull

Funding Source & Estimated Hours: RVARC – 50 hrs.

Grant Writing and Research

The Commission will continue to provide assistance to localities in writing grant applications and researching funding opportunities for regional and local development projects. Project includes attendance at grant workshops.

Localities served: Cities of Covington, Roanoke and Salem, Counties of Alleghany, Botetourt, Craig, Franklin and Roanoke and Towns of Clifton Forge and Vinton

Project Leader: Eddie Wells

Funding Source & Estimated Hours: ARC – 30 hrs., RVARC – 47 hrs.

General Technical Assistance

RVARC staff will research, develop, maintain, and analyze data for use in a variety of technical support and planning support activities.

Localities served: Cities of Covington, Roanoke and Salem, Counties of Alleghany, Botetourt, Craig, Franklin and Roanoke and Towns of Clifton Forge and Vinton

Project Leader: Matt Miller

Funding Source & Estimated Hours: ARC 33 hrs., RVARC – 67 hrs.

Environmental Projects

Regional Water Supply Planning Coordination

Assist participating localities in applying for and coordinating a regional Water Supply Planning Grant from the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality to assist in development of a regional water supply plan that meets the criteria and conditions established in the Commonwealth's Local and Regional Water Supply Planning Regulation.

Localities served: Cities of Bedford, Roanoke and Salem, Counties of Bedford, Botetourt, Craig, Franklin and Roanoke, Towns of Boones Mill, Buchanan, Fincastle, Rocky Mount, Troutville and Vinton
Project Leader: Shane Sawyer
Funding Source & Estimated Hours: RVARC – 60 hrs.

Regional Water Issues

Assist local, state, and federal agencies with water resources planning as requested. Water resources-related issues and activities in the region include storm water, water supply, wastewater, water quality/quantity, TMDL's, tributary strategies, or other issues that may arise.
Localities served: Cities of Roanoke and Salem, Counties of Alleghany, Botetourt, Franklin, Roanoke and Towns of Clifton Forge and Vinton
Project Leader: Shane Sawyer
Funding Source & Estimated Hours: RVARC – 60 hrs.

Grant Writing and Research

The Commission will continue to provide assistance to localities in writing grant applications and researching funding opportunities for regional and local development projects. Project includes attendance at grant workshops.
Localities served: Cities of Covington, Roanoke and Salem, Counties of Alleghany, Botetourt, Craig, Franklin and Roanoke and Towns of Clifton Forge and Vinton
Project Leader: Eddie Wells
Funding Source & Estimated Hours: ARC - 33 hrs., RVARC – 47 hrs.

General Technical Assistance

RVARC staff will research, develop, maintain, and analyze data for use in a variety of technical support and planning support activities.
Localities served: Cities of Covington, Roanoke and Salem, Counties of Alleghany, Botetourt, Craig, Franklin and Roanoke and Towns of Clifton Forge and Vinton
Project Leader: Eddie Wells
Funding Source & Estimated Hours: ARC – 33 hrs., RVARC – 17 hrs.

Community Development Projects

Land Use Regulations

Assist Franklin County with updating their zoning and subdivision ordinances.
Localities served: County of Franklin
Project Leader: Eddie Wells
Funding Source & Estimated Hours: RVARC - 50 hrs.

Grant Writing and Research

The Commission will continue to provide assistance to localities in writing grant applications and researching funding opportunities for regional and local development projects. Project includes attendance at grant workshops.
Localities served: Cities of Covington, Roanoke and Salem, Counties of Alleghany, Botetourt, Craig, Franklin and Roanoke and Towns of Clifton Forge and Vinton
Project Leader: Shane Sawyer
Funding Source & Estimated Hours: ARC - 34 hrs., RVARC – 46 hrs.

General Technical Assistance

RVARC staff will research, develop, maintain, and analyze data for use in a variety of technical support and planning support activities.
Localities served: Cities of Covington, Roanoke and Salem, Counties of Alleghany, Botetourt, Craig, Franklin and Roanoke and Towns of Clifton Forge and Vinton
Project Leader: Matt Miller Funding Source & Estimated Hours: ARC - 34 hrs., RVARC – 16 hrs.

The Board of Supervisors agreed to provide the Commission with some suggested work projects for FY 2008.

ORIENT BAY DEVELOPMENT UPDATE

Frank Fiori, Director of Planning and Community Development, advised the Board the petitioner desires to rezone parcels of land fronting on Route 220 to Planned Commercial District (PCD) to develop a multiple phase commercial development to include an office and retail complex, motel, bank, restaurant, convenience store with gas, grocery store/pharmacy, furniture warehouse/retail, and day care center. The proposed uses must be supported by the approval of Special Use Permits for a shopping center, private roads, public water and sewer, and day care center.

The Concept Plan for the PCD request shows 13 separate buildings with a total development build-out of approximately 366,500 square feet. The individual uses include approximately 70,400 sf will be office/retail; 37,800 sf will be motel; 18,000 sf will be a bank; 12,600 sf will be a restaurant; 6,600 sf will be a combination of convenience/gas/restaurant; 4,800 sf will be a day care; 176,300 sf will be furniture warehouse/retail; 40,000 sf will be a grocery/pharmacy.

This petition was before the Planning Commission at their December 12, 2006 meeting, and will be before the Board of Supervisors at their January 23, 2007 meeting. Please refer to the submitted staff report for further information.

Franklin County Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors

Staff Report

December 12, 2006 and January 23, 2007

Request to Rezone

Petitioner: Orient Bay, LLC

Owner: Same

Size of Parcel(s): +/- 42 acres

Size of Request: +/- 40 acres, +/- 2 acres

Magisterial District: Boone

Tax ID: Map # 36, Parcel # 222; Map # 44, Parcel #6 (portion); Map # 44, Parcel #'s 13; 14.

Current Zoning: Rezone +/- 40 acres from A-1 to Planned Commercial District (PCD); Rezone +/- 2 acres from B-2 to Planned Commercial District (PCD).

Zoning/Use Request: **Concept Plan** approval to construct 1) Office and retail complex; 2) motel; 3) bank; 4) restaurant; 5) convenience store with gas; 6) grocery store/pharmacy; 7) furniture warehouse/retail; 8) day care center within a PCD zoning district. **Special Use Permits** to construct 1) shopping center; 2) private roads; 3) public water and sewer; 4) day care center.

1. Nature of Request & Background:

The petitioner desires to rezone parcels of land fronting on Route 220 to Planned Commercial District (PCD) to develop a multiple phase commercial development to include an office and retail complex, motel, bank, restaurant, convenience store with gas, grocery store/pharmacy, furniture warehouse/retail, and day care center. The proposed uses must be supported by the approval of Special Use Permits for a shopping center, private roads, public water and sewer, and day care center.

The Concept Plan for the PCD request shows 13 separate buildings with a total development build-out of approximately 366,500 square feet. The individual uses include approximately 70,400 s.f. will be office/retail; 37,800 s.f. will be motel; 18,000 s.f. will be a bank; 12,600 s.f. will be a restaurant; 6,600 s.f. will be a combination of convenience/gas/restaurant; 4,800 s.f. will be a day care; 176,300 s.f. will be furniture warehouse/retail; 40,000 s.f. will be a grocery/pharmacy.

2. Applicable Regulations:

Sec. 25-2 Purpose and intent of the Zoning Ordinance

Sec. 25-390-through Sec. 25-402, Planned Commercial District

3. **Site Characteristics:**

- a. Ground Cover: Mixed woodlands, open fields and fruit orchard.
- b. Slope: Slope is indicated as varied from mild to moderately steep with an elevation difference of approximately 60 ft., measured from Route 220 to the lowest elevation of Lot 6 (R-1) in Ridgeview Estates.
- c. Special Features: N/A

4. **Land Use Compatibility:**

- a. Surrounding Uses
 - North: Residential
 - East: Route 220 Commercial Corridor
 - South: Business and Residential
 - West: Woodlands and pasture
- b. Adjacent Zoning Districts
 - North: A-1
 - East: B-2 / M-1
 - South: A-1 / R-1
 - West: A-1 / R-1
- c. Site Layout: The Concept Plan indicates that the proposed PCD rezoning could include a maximum of thirteen separate buildings with off-street parking facilities to serve each building. There is no indication of pedestrian access to serve the PCD district.
- d. Open Area Requirements: The petitioner indicates that the proposed concept plan will meet the minimum 25% open space requirement for the PCD district; they will propose a minimum of 30% open space as a proffered condition. The concept plan does not indicate how the open space calculation is distributed among all proposed uses.
- e. Architecture: The petitioner indicates that the architecture and building materials of the proposed uses will be complimentary and consistent with surrounding properties. The submitted elevation renderings depict facades of very different materials, colors and style.
- f. Screening and Landscaping: The concept plan indicates that a proposed 30' wide buffer of landscape material will be used to screen the PCD district from adjacent properties; the north boundary of the proposed screening is incomplete
- g. Historic Features: The property is not adjacent to any registered historical landmarks.

1. **Transportation:** The petitioner has provided a traffic generation summary for the concept plan. A trip generation table was included to depict possible trips associated with the anticipated development. No formal analysis was performed by a transportation engineer for the anticipated traffic impact of the concept plan on adjacent roadways, including but not limited to Wirtz Road and Route 220.

The concept plan indicates that the first phase of development proposes improvements to the existing left turn lane and stoplight at the intersection of Wirtz Road and Route 220. There is also a proposed second ingress/egress for the development on Route 220, which will serve as an alternate access for emergency and public safety vehicles.

In addition to the alternate entrance onto Route 220, the petitioner is proposing a new right de-acceleration lane that will facilitate traffic volume for the ingress/egress of the development.

6. **Utilities:**

- a. Water: The petitioner is proposing to utilize a .5 acre well site, located in the northwest corner of the concept plan. It is estimated that the total build out of the development will require approximately 53,220 gallons per day (GPD).
- b. Sanitary Sewer: In addition to the rezoning request, the applicant is proposing to include a waste water treatment plant to be located on the east side of Route 220, to serve the proposed concept plan and other parcels of land within the vicinity of the Wirtz Road intersection. A waste water treatment plant is a special use permit subject to review and approval by the Board of Supervisors. The proposed plant is anticipated to utilize approximately 39,000 gallons per day (GPD) for the total build out phase of the PCD district concept plan; however, other properties will benefit from the proposed system. It is estimated that the proposed system will facilitate up to 62,740 GPD.

The proposed plant will utilize a point discharge method into an existing stream located on Tax# 36-223.14.

c. V-DOT:

1. A Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) will be required. We recommend that the TIA be submitted prior to rezoning approval.
2. The existing left turn lane into the site off of Northbound Route 220 is insufficient for such a development. A standard 200' x 200' left turn lane will be required. The TIA will determine if additional left turn lane storage is needed in addition to the required 200'.
3. A 200' x 200' right turn lane will be required at the secondary entrance off of Route 220. A continuous right turn lane will be required from the secondary entrance to the main entrance.
4. All roadway improvements must be constructed in accordance with the VDOT Road Design Manual.
5. The developer will be responsible for design, construction and costs of any necessary signal modifications related to the development, to include costs associated with retiming the signal.
6. Prior to any work being performed within the States Right-of-Way, a VDOT Commercial Entrance Permit must be obtained.

7. **Public Services:**

- a. Emergency Services: Asked to comment.
- b. Parks and Recreation: Asked to comment.
- c. Schools: Asked to comment.
- d. Law Enforcement: Asked to comment.

8. **Environmental:**

- a. Air:
- b. Water:
- c. Soils:
- d. Noise:
- e. Visual Impact:

*** All of the above factors will be addressed in the accompanying Special Use Permits staff reports.**

9. **Relation to Comprehensive Plan:**

The Future land Use Map of the 1995 Comprehensive Plan indicates that the property is located within a Commercial Highway Corridor. The background for these areas state:

**Commercial Highway Corridors
Background**

In addition to the towns, Community Centers, and Rural Villages, significant growth is occurring along major arterial roads in the County, including Route 220 North, and Route 40 East and West. The county's plans to extend water and sewer service along these highway corridors will facilitate and accelerate this commercial development pattern. As a result, these areas require special planning emphasis to ensure potential growth does not bring with it deterioration of the traffic carrying capacity of these important roadways. From the intersection of the Route 220 Bypass with Route 220 Business north of Rocky Mount for a distance of approximately five miles towards Boones Mill, significant commercial development is occurring on either side of the four lane divided highway. Combined with the difficult alignment of Route 220 as it crosses the rolling topography north of Rocky Mount, the growing number of business with separate entrances on Route 220 raises concerns regarding the ability of the road to fulfill its role as a safe, efficient, link between Roanoke County and Greensboro in the future. In this case the market forces leading to the location of new development will in the long run make the road a new Main Street for business activity, and foresight must be exercised to develop adequate parallel collector roads to relieve the pressure of congestion. Businesses along route 220 should coordinate access, develop internal roads, and use acceleration lanes. Similarly, standards for landscaping and sign control on the corridor could help preserve the visual character and enhance appearance.

Policies for Highway Corridors

- *The County will explore and implement effective ways to manage and ameliorate the negative impacts of strip commercial development on important arterial roads that have already experienced development. These impacts include frequent curb cuts, proliferation of signs and visual clutter, poor aesthetics and poor traffic flow.*
- *In areas that face increasing pressure for strip commercial development, the County will explore ways to provide incentives to encourage beneficial development and desirable site characteristics, and to reduce the negative impacts. These methods will include planning for intersecting local access road nodes connecting to parallel collector roads..*
- *The County will monitor Site Plans for new development along key highway corridors, and encourage the coordination of entrances according to good engineering practices to reduce safety hazards and congestion, and to meet or exceed VDOT commercial highway entrance standards.*
- *Highway Corridor Plans: The County, in conjunction with local businesses and property owners, will study individual highway corridors, and develop a corridor plan to coordinate and improve business development patterns on the corridors.*

10. Staff Evaluation:

a. Strengths –

- The proposed rezoning to Planned Commercial Development would assist in the consolidation of complimentary land uses within a defined development pattern.
- The proposed Concept Plan associated with the rezoning is poised to adhere to County policy that addresses the negative impact of multiple ingress/egress on Route 220.
- The proposed Concept Plan associated with the rezoning should contribute to the local economy and the County's tax base.

b. Weaknesses –

- The proposed Concept Plan does not include a Traffic Impact Analysis which would suggest a professional analysis of anticipated traffic demand and impact on adjacent roadways.
- The proposed Concept Plan provides a high volume of parking spaces for the largest building, which has a low peak hour demand, in comparison to uses that have less off-street parking spaces proposed.
- No specifications for the provision of a buffer/landscape screen to the north adjacent to Concept Plan Unit#1 and adjacent to the existing Franklin Motel.
- The proposed Concept Plan indicates that the majority of open space is located to the western side of the project site; it appears that very little is represented along Units#1 thru 4 and adjacent to Route 220.
- There is no outdoor “public space” to serve the entire development, similar to the proposed courtyard area that will serve Unit#13b; this space will define the development apart from conventional “strip center” projects, and might serve the needs of project residents (i.e., office workers, travelers, etc).
- No references have been made about the possibility of utilizing a shared parking agreement/covenant between different uses within the Concept Plan.
- The proposed Concept Plan indicates the use of architectural building styles, materials and colors that are not consistent and complimentary among the proposed Units as well as existing business along Route 220.
- The proposed concept plan does not indicate the provision of pedestrian access points and pathways to serve the uses within the proposed PCD district.

12. Suggested Proffers:

Proffers as recommended by staff:

1. Upon approval of the rezoning request, the applicant shall submit a professional Traffic Impact Analysis from a transportation engineer outlining the anticipated demands and impacts of the Concept Plan for the Virginia Market Place Commercial Center drawn by Philip W. Nester, Inc. and dated October 31, 2006.
2. The applicant shall produce a shared parking agreement for all Units proposed for development, and it must be reviewed and approved by the Planning Department prior to the issuance of any permits for the Concept Plan listed above.

3. In addition to the courtyard as depicted on the concept plan dated October 31, 2006 the applicant shall provide an outdoor "public space" to serve the pedestrian needs of the development; the location, size and materials shall be subject to the review and approval of the Planning Department.
4. The applicant shall provide an architectural palette that is complimentary among all proposed development Units; the buildings scale, style, color and materials shall be subject to the review and approval of the Planning Department.
5. The applicant shall provide a signage plan to serve the proposed Concept Plan, subject to the review and approval of the Planning Department.

Proffers as proposed by the applicant:

1. The property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the Rezoning and Special Use Permit Requests Concept Plan and Reports for Virginia Market Place dated 10/31/2006 prepared by Orient Bay, L.L.C. and others.
2. The applicant shall construct and maintain a buffer in the area so designated by the Concept Plan. This buffer will consist of a double row of evergreen trees, planted on ten (10') foot centers, ten (10') row separation, with a five (5') foot offset between rows. The trees shall have a minimum height of four (4') feet at the time of planting.
3. The Concept Plan provides for a projected total uncovered area of 36% and a total covered area of 64%. The Concept Plan is preliminary in nature and final site design may require minor changes because of topography and other engineering design issues. Any increase in covered area due to engineering design issues shall not exceed a total covered area of 70%, thus guaranteeing that a minimum of 30% of the PCD area shall remain uncovered.
4. The designations of proposed uses as set forth on the Concept Plan are projected proposed uses and the exact uses are unknown. Accordingly, the developer reserves the right to reconfigure the proposed locations provided that any revision shall not exceed the total square footages proposed for the project and such use is permitted in the PCD zone, as amended.
5. Architectural detail shall be incorporated to create architectural character. Detail includes highlighting foundations, lintels, sills and cornices with contrasting materials and breaking up the mass of the building with bands at floor levels or projections at entries. Windows and doors shall have a regular pattern of solids and voids that are consistent throughout individual buildings. Buildings with flat roofs shall have a decorative cornice at the top of all walls. Appropriate screening shall be provided for any roof mounted mechanical equipment.
6. Solid waste disposal shall be handled individually on each site and all dumpster facilities shall be screened from adjacent properties.

13. Suggested Alternative Motions:

The following suggested motions are sample motions that may be used. They include language found in Section 15.2-2283, Purpose of zoning ordinances of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended.

- 1) I find that the proposal will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property, that the character of the projected future land use of the community will not be adversely impacted, that such use will be in harmony with the purpose and intent of the zoning ordinance and with the public health, safety and general welfare. Therefore I move to recommend approval of the petitioner's request for a rezoning with the proffers as submitted .

OR

- 2) I find that the proposal is of substantial detriment to adjacent property and that such use will not aid in the creation of a convenient, attractive, or harmonious community. Therefore I move to deny the request for a rezoning with the proffers as submitted.

OR

- 3) I find that the required information for the submitted petition is incomplete. Therefore I move to delay action until all necessary materials are submitted.

Chris Fewster of Anderson Associates provided information in regard to the proposed sewage treatment system for the project.

Chris Fewster, Engineer, Anderson & Associates, presented the following data for the Board's review and consideration:

Special Use Permit – Water Quality Standards for Wastewater Treatment and Disposal to Surface Waters of Franklin County
JN 25800

January 16, 2007 (DRAFT for Board of Supervisors Consideration)

The purpose of this standard is to establish a minimum water quality standard for all wastewater discharges to the surface waters of Franklin County. This standard is intended to protect the surface waters of Franklin County from additional nutrient loadings resulting from both publicly and privately owned wastewater treatment facilities. These standards are to be used in conjunction with discharge limits set by the Department of Environmental Quality, and the more stringent of nutrient limits will be applied.

The County Board of Supervisors reserves the right to revise these recommended nutrient standards, and/or implement them in full or in part according to the health and well being of the Franklin County Community.

1. General Requirements

All wastewater facilities discharging to surface waters within Franklin County must be designed and constructed in accordance with all current local, state, and federal codes and regulations and the more stringent requirements shall apply.

2. Nutrient Standards

All treatment facilities discharging to surface waters within Franklin County must meet the following minimum design criteria for treated effluent:

Total Nitrogen (N) 5 mg/l
Total Phosphorous (P) 1 mg/l

Should the Department of Environmental Quality set forth a different nutrient standard for the proposed wastewater discharge, the more stringent effluent limits shall apply.

Limits for other effluent parameters, such as biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), total suspended solids (TSS), dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, fecal coliform, chlorine residual, shall be as set forth by the Department of Environmental Quality.

Frequency of testing for the effluent nutrient limits will be set forth based on the size of the treatment facility.

Operator classification and attendance will be set in accordance with Department of Environmental Quality’s regulations based on type of treatment provided and size of the proposed treatment facility. The facility may request a reduction in staffing requirements from the County’s Utilities Director upon providing information on emergency response procedures, facility automation, remote monitoring capabilities, and related items.

General discussion ensued, whereby the Board noted concerns regarding the proposed project’s vegetative screening, low impact development and stormwater management concepts, and signage.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDMENTS TO CHAPTERS 4 & 5

Darryl Hatcher, Director of Public Safety, reviewed with the Board proposed amendments to Chapter 4 & 5 of the County Code as follows:

The General Assembly in 2006 authorized revisions to the Code of Virginia regarding the licensing of dogs and cats. These revisions become effective on July 1, 2007. The Code of Franklin County will need to be amended to reflect these changes. Included in these amendments are requests to increase animal pickup fees for non-compliant owners of dogs and cats, a standardization of license fees, and increased penalties available to the courts for owners of nuisance animals.

Additionally an amendment is requested regarding horses. Recently, Animal Control Officers have responded to complaints of horses that are being kept on parcels less than 1 acre. These horses require more space for exercise and grazing than parcels less than 1 acre will allow. Officer Marvin Woods states that during several investigations he found horses that were malnourished and suffered from lack of exercise due to limited exercise and grazing opportunities available due to parcel sizes.

Finally, also requested for consideration is an amendment to title 5. The requested changes will allow public safety, working in conjunction with the County Administrator and Building Inspector, to provide better service to the public regarding unsafe structures.

Sec. 4-54. Tax imposed.

Amendment: Removes reference to sex of dog for licensing purposes from paragraph (a). Amended ordinance will levy a \$4.00 tax per year on neutered or spayed dogs and a \$10.00 tax per year on dogs that have not been neutered or spayed. The tax must be defined as a yearly tax as 3.1-796.88 deletes any reference as to when dog taxes must be paid.

This amendment is in alignment with 3.1-796.87 as amended and effective 7/1/2007.

Sec. 4-55. Where and when tax due and payable.

Amendment: Brings current county ordinance into alignment with state code section 3.1-796.88 as amended and effective 7/1/2007 that deleted requirement to purchase dog licenses in January of each year and established a multi-year dog tax that runs concurrent with a valid rabies certificate effective dates.

Sec. 4-58. Issuance, composition and contents.

Amendments: (Effective 7/1/2007)

- (a) Allows authorized agents to sell county dog licenses. Allows treasurer or designated agent to sell license upon receipt of rabies certificate or satisfactory evidence that rabies vaccination was obtained thus allowing purchase by mail.
- (b) Aligns ordinance with state code § 3.1-796.86 that establishes minimum information that must be recorded for dog license purchase.
- (c) Inserted to align county code with state code § 3.1-796.86 pertaining to the required time that records must be stored.
- (d) Pursuant to state code § 3.1-796.86, allows treasurer to designate agents in substations in the county to sell dog licenses provided that they deliver those receipts to the treasurer for storage each month. State law gives treasurer the authority to designate agents instead of Board of Supervisors.

Sec. 4-59. Preservation and exhibition of license receipt; tag to be worn by dog; exceptions.

Amendment: (Effective 7/1/2007)

- (a) Clarifies language to animal control officer.
- (b) Inserts valid and strikes reference to "proper calendar year" as tags are no longer required to indicate the year in which issued pursuant to § 3.1-796.89 as amended.

Sec. 4-62. Impoundment, redemption and disposition of dogs or other animals found at large without tag.

Changes impoundment fee from \$10.00 to \$30.00

Sec. 4-64. Disposition of taxes collected

Amendment: (Effective 7/1/2007)

Title: Eliminates liability limits from title.

- (a) Requires the treasurer, pursuant to § 3.1-796.101, to maintain a separate account for dog license tax revenues. References to liabilities incurred as outlined in § 3.1-796.118 are transferred to (b) 5.
- (b) Establishes designated uses for the revenues collected for purposes outlined pursuant to § 3.1-796.101. Additionally it specifies any remaining revenues after December 31 of each year can be deposited into the general fund account as authorized by § 3.1-796.101.

Sec. 4-66. Animal nuisance prohibited.

Amendment: Added to paragraph (c), it authorizes any judge to order that any owner, convicted a second offense of this section, have the animal spayed or neutered.

Sec. 5-3. Removal, repair, etc., of dangerous structures.

Amendment: Changes enforcement authority from the board of supervisors to the county administrator or his designee, the chief building inspector.

Change State law reference from 15.1-11.2 to current State law reference as amended

RECOMMENDATION:

In an effort to provide better service to the citizens of Franklin County, staff respectfully requests the Board of Supervisors to proceed with the ordinance amendment process as requested. The approval will not require an increase in the operational budget for the fiscal year.

(RESOLUTION #11-01-2007)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to authorize staff to advertise the proposed amendments to Chapter 4 & 5 as presented to the County Code for public hearing during the February 20th, 2007 meeting.

MOTION BY: Charles Poindexter
SECONDED BY: Charles Wagner
VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:
AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

COYOTE BOUNTY

Richard E. Huff, II, County Administrator, shared with the Board the a draft ordinance from Campbell County addressing a bounty on Coyotes.

Mr. Mitchell stated the following counties have a bounty on coyotes from \$25 to \$75.00:

- Brunswick County \$75.00
- Campbell County \$50.00
- Halifax County \$50.00
- Lunenburg County \$50.00
- Mecklenburg County \$75.00
- Prince Edward County \$50.00

Staff was directed by the Board to draft an ordinance, distribute to the Board through a Friday Packet, and bring back to the Board for discussion and review during the February meeting.

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN WORKSESSION

Frank Fiori, Director of Planning and Community Development, briefly reviewed with the Board the summary of Board questions/concerns on the proposed Comprehensive Plan.

General discussion ensued.

The Board requested staff to have a compilation of all concerns addressed in the Comp Plan from the Board (general language) and to bring back the summary and to address the final summary and hold the public hearing for Thursday, February 8th, 2007 @ 7:30 P.M. Should ideas arise from the public hearing, they may be addressed along with proposed amendments at a later date.

(RESOLUTION #12-01-2007)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to authorize staff to advertise for public hearing on the certified Draft 2025 Comprehensive Plan as received from the Planning Commission, on *Thursday, February 8th, 2007 @ 7:30 P.M.*

MOTION BY: Leland Mitchell
SECONDED BY: Charles Wagner
VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:
AYES: Mitchell, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell
NAYS: Hurt & Johnson

THE MOTION PASSES WITH A 5-2 VOTE.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN WORKSESSION

Richard E. Huff, II, County Administrator, advised the Board a CIP worksession was needed. The Board set the CIP worksession meeting date for *Thursday, February 8th, 2007 @ 5:30 p.m.*

RURAL ADDITION ROAD PROCESS

David Hurt, Boone District Supervisor, requested the Board to consider opting not to use the Rural Addition Program (\$100,000) monies this year and to apply the funds to the Clements Mill Bridge Road. General discussion ensued.

The Board felt they should go forward with the list of Rural Addition Nominees appointed by the Board as follows.

<i>DISTRICT</i>	<i>ROAD NAME</i>

Gills Creek	Paisley Lane
Rocky Mount	Crossbow Lane
Union Hall	Crafts Lane
Snow Creek	Fox Glove Lane
Blackwater	Eagle Lane
Blue Ridge	NONE
Boone	Summer Breeze Drive

The Board decided to address the Rural Addition Program Policy again during the February Board meeting.

LISTING OF RURAL ADDITION NOMINEES

Richard E. Huff, II, County Administrator presented the following listing for rural additions:

RURAL ADDITION NOMINATIONS

<i>DISTRICT</i>	<i>ROAD NAME</i>
Gills Creek	Paisley Lane
Rocky Mount	Crossbow Lane
Union Hall	Crafts Lane
Snow Creek	Fox Glove Lane
Blackwater	Eagle Lane
Blue Ridge	NONE
Boone	Summer Breeze Drive

APPOINTMENTS:

• **ROAD VIEWERS APPOINTMENTS**

Jerry Whitaker
370 Leland Lane
Rocky Mount, VA 24151

BLUE RIDGE DISTRICT

Dale Powell
950 Miriam Hill Drive
Rocky Mount, Virginia 24151

ROCKY MOUNT DISTRICT

Thomas W. Jefferson
140 Old Mountain Road
Penhook, Virginia 24137

UNION HALL DISTRICT

Thomas Newbill
345 Old Brook Road
Hardy, Virginia 24101

GILLS CREEK DISTRICT

Jimmie Doyle
10000 Sontag Road
Martinsville, Virginia 24112

SNOW CREEK DISTRICT

Marvin Webb
7245 Callaway Road
Callaway, Virginia 24067

BLACKWATER DISTRICT

Art Moran
35 Ledges Circle
Hardy, Virginia 24101

BOONE DISTRICT

(RESOLUTION #13-01-2007)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to approve the aforementioned listing of the Road Viewers as submitted with Jerry Whitaker for the Blue Ridge District to replace Morris Ledbetter.

MOTION BY: Hubert Quinn
SECONDED BY: Charles Wagner
VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:
AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

• **AGING SERVICES BOARD**

Gladys P. Anderson

January 31, 2007

2617 Booker T. Washington Highway
Rocky Mount, Virginia 24151 GILLS CREEK DISTRICT

Mr. Benny Russell
70 East Court Street
Rocky Mount, Virginia 24151 January 31, 2007
BOONE DISTRICT

VACANT January 31, 2007
UNION HALL DISTRICT

Mrs. Edith Sigmon
11625 Franklin Street
Ferrum, Virginia 24088 January 31, 2007
BLUE RIDGE DISTRICT

Mr. Jim Conklin
105 Mirey Branch
Boones Mill, Virginia 24065 January 31, 2007
BLACKWATER DISTRICT

Shirley Vaughn
Post Office Box 649
Rocky Mount, Virginia 24151 January 31, 2007
SNOW CREEK DISTRICT

Mrs. Ruth Jones
370 Greenview Drive
Rocky Mount, Virginia 24151 January 31, 2007
ROCKY MOUNT DISTRICT

(RESOLUTION #14-01-2007)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to appoint the aforementioned Aging Services Board with the exception of the Union Hall District and to appoint the vacancy for the Union Hall District during the February meeting.

MOTION BY: Charles Wagner
SECONDED BY: Russ Johnson
VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:
AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

DAN RIVER ASAP POLICY BOARD/RESIGNATION

A February Board item.

BOARD POLICY ON CELL PHONE REIMBURSEMENT

Russ Johnson, Gills Creek District Supervisor, requested the Board to be reimbursed beginning in January 2007 for cell phone expenses used in performance of duty of work. General discussion ensued.

(RESOLUTION #15-01-2007)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to establish a Board of Supervisors Reimbursement Policy that will include as an allowable reimbursable expense of the County business portion of a supervisor's personal cell phone bill as a percentage of the total bill based on actual usage, effective January 1st, 2007.

MOTION BY: Charles Poindexter
SECONDED BY: Russ Johnson
VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:
AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Johnson, & Angell
NAYS: Wagner & Quinn

RECYCLING BINS/LOCATION

Russ Johnson, Gills Creek District Supervisor, requested the Board to consider ADA handicap accessible bins at various greenbox sites within the County. The Board stated they would look at this during the budget process.

THE FERRUM EXPRESS

Withdrawn.

OTHER MATTERS BY SUPERVISORS

Charles Poindexter, Union Hall District, requested the Board to research a possible ordinance dealing with animal negligence and the County incurring the veterinarian charges. Staff will bring back data to the Board for review and discussion.

PLANNING COMMISSION DENIED

Charles Poindexter asked the Board to research and see if the Planning Commission denied a petition, then the Board of Supervisors would not hear the petition. General discussion ensued.

CLOSED MEETING
(RESOLUTION #16-01-2007)

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors to into a closed meeting in accordance with 2.2-3711, a-3, Acquisition of Land, and a-5, Discussion of a Prospective New Business or Industry, a-7, Consult with Legal Counsel and a-30 of the Code of Virginia, as amended.

MOTION BY: Charles Wagner
SECONDED BY: Leland Mitchell
VOTING ON THE MOTION WAS AS FOLLOWS:
AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn & Angell

MOTION: Hubert Quinn RESOLUTION: #17-01-2007
SECOND: Charles Wagner MEETING DATE January 17th, 2007
WHEREAS, the Franklin County Board of Supervisors has convened an closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of The Virginia Freedom of Information Act: and
WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3712(d) of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by this Franklin County Board of Supervisors that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law;
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Franklin County Board of Supervisors hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge, (i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, and (ii) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed or considered by the Franklin County Board of Supervisors.
VOTE:
AYES: Mitchell, Hurt, Poindexter, Wagner, Johnson, Quinn, & Angell
NAYS: NONE
ABSENT DURING VOTE: NONE
ABSENT DURING MEETING: NONE

Chairman Wayne Angell adjourned the meeting.

W. WAYNE ANGELL
CHAIRMAN

RICHARD E. HUFF, II
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR